The National Citizen Survey™

Bedford, MA

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2014

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Bedford's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Bedford's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Across all pillars, the facet of Education and Enrichment had particularly strong ratings. Additionally, the facets of Community Engagement (within Governance) and Built Environment (within Participation) had ratings higher than in comparison communities. All other ratings were strong and similar to communities across the nation. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Comm	unity Characte	eristics		Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	10	40	2	11	35	0	7	29	0	
General	1	6	0	0	3	0	1	2	0	
Safety	1		0	2	5	0	1	2	0	
Mobility	1	5	2	1	7	0	0	3	0	
Natural Environment	1	2	0	1	5	0	1	2	0	
Built Environment	0	5	0	0	7	0	1	1	0	
Economy	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	
Recreation and Wellness	0	7	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	
Education and Enrichment	3	3	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	
Community Engagement	0	5	0	6	2	0	2	10	0	

Legend							
	Higher						
	Similar						
	Lower						

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%	Customer service	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	86%	Recommend Bedford	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	92%
	Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%	Services provided by Bedford	1	\leftrightarrow	88%	Remain in Bedford	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%
<u>.</u>	Place to retire	↓	\leftrightarrow	53%	Services provided by the Federal Government	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	48%	Contacted Bedford employees	↓	1	61%
פנופו	Place to raise children	\leftrightarrow	1	92%								
ľ	Place to live	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	95%								
ľ	Neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	86%								
ľ	Overall image	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	88%								
	Overall feeling of safety	*	1	97%	Police	\leftrightarrow	1	92%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	94%
	Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	96%	Crime prevention	\leftrightarrow	1	89%	Did NOT report a crime	*	1	89%
Sarety	Safe downtown/commerci al area	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	96%	Fire	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	97%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	*	\leftrightarrow	37%
ľ					Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%				
Ī					Ambulance/EMS	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	96%				
					Emergency preparedness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	75%				
					Animal control	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%				
	Traffic flow	1	↓ ↓	16%	Traffic enforcement	\leftrightarrow	↔	70%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	*	↔	44%
	Travel by car	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	50%	Street repair	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	47%	Walked or biked instead of driving	*	\leftrightarrow	61%
ر خ	Travel by bicycle	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	53%	Street cleaning	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	65%	Used public transportation instead of driving	*	\leftrightarrow	24%
FIODIIICY	Ease of walking	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	60%	Street lighting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	68%				
	Travel by public transportation	*	1	24%	Snow removal	\leftrightarrow	1	82%				
	Overall ease travel	*	\leftrightarrow	68%	Sidewalk maintenance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	59%				
	Public parking	*	\leftrightarrow	62%	Traffic signal timing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%				
	Paths and walking trails	1	1	77%	Bus or transit services	1	\leftrightarrow	42%				
יאמרמו מו דוואוו סווווכוור	Overall natural environment	1	\leftrightarrow	88%	Garbage collection	↔	\leftrightarrow	89%	Recycled at home	\leftrightarrow	1	95%
5	Air quality	\leftrightarrow	1	90%	Recycling	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%	Conserved water	*	\leftrightarrow	75%
	Cleanliness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%	Yard waste pick-up	*	\leftrightarrow	74%	Made home more energy efficient	*	\leftrightarrow	75%
<u> </u>					Drinking water	↓ ↓	\leftrightarrow	57%				
를					Open space	*	\leftrightarrow	69%				
-					Natural areas preservation	\leftrightarrow	1	79%				
	New development in Bedford	1	↔	53%	Sewer services	1	↔	80%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	↔	\leftrightarrow	66%
Built Environment	Affordable quality housing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	38%	Storm drainage	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%	Did NOT observe a code violation	*	↑ ↑	74%
	Housing options	↓ ↓	\leftrightarrow	49%	Power utility	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	85%				
	Overall built environment	*	\leftrightarrow	53%	Utility billing	*	\leftrightarrow	71%				
<u> </u>	Public places	*	\leftrightarrow	60%	Land use, planning and zoning	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%				
. [Code enforcement	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	64%				
					Cable television	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	68%				



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$

The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	*	1	82%	Economic development	1	\leftrightarrow	60%	impact on income		\leftrightarrow	30%
	Shopping opportunities	1	1	74%					Purchased goods or services in Bedford	*	\leftrightarrow	97%
Economy	Employment opportunities	\leftrightarrow	1	51%					Work in Bedford	*	\leftrightarrow	34%
요	Place to visit	*	\leftrightarrow	57%								
Щ I	Cost of living	*	\leftrightarrow	36%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	*	\leftrightarrow	38%								
	Place to work	↓	\leftrightarrow	75%								
	Business and services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%							↔	
SSS	Fitness opportunities	*	\leftrightarrow	77%	Town parks	↓	\leftrightarrow	80%	In very good to excellent health	*	\leftrightarrow	74%
	Recreational opportunities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	73%	Recreation centers	*	\leftrightarrow	77%	Used Bedford recreation centers	*	\leftrightarrow	60%
Ne	Health care	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Recreation programs	↓	\leftrightarrow	81%	Visited a Town park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%
and \	Food	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Health services	↓	↔	76%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	*	\leftrightarrow	88%
Recreation and Wellness	Mental health care	*	\leftrightarrow	51%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	*	↔	88%
S	Health and wellness	*	\leftrightarrow	75%								
- Re	Preventive health services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	67%								
	K-12 education	\leftrightarrow	1	96%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	1	96%	Used Bedford public libraries	\leftrightarrow	1	83%
t d	Cultural/arts/music activities	1	\leftrightarrow	56%	Special events	*	\leftrightarrow	73%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	43%
n a	Child care/preschool	1	1	73%					i i			
Education and Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%								
교교	Adult education	*	\leftrightarrow	70%								
	Overall education and enrichment	*	1	84%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	81%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	1	81%	Sense of community	↓	\leftrightarrow	75%
İ	Opportunities to volunteer	1	\leftrightarrow	78%	Overall direction	1	\leftrightarrow	66%	Voted in local elections	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%
	Openness and acceptance	1	\leftrightarrow	68%	Value of services for taxes paid	1	\leftrightarrow	64%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	*	\leftrightarrow	90%
ent	Social events and activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	67%	Welcoming citizen involvement	\leftrightarrow	1	72%	Attended a local public meeting	↓	1	35%
Community Engagement	Neighborliness	*	\leftrightarrow	74%	Confidence in Town government	*	1	71%	Watched a local public meeting	↓ ·	↔	31%
ry Eng					Acting in the best interest of Bedford	*	1	71%	Volunteered	↓ ·	\leftrightarrow	44%
<u> </u>					Being honest	*	1	76%	Participated in a club		\leftrightarrow	29%
ommo					Treating all residents fairly	*	1	73%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	*	↔	20%
O									Contacted Bedford elected officials	*	↔	18%
									Attended a Town-sponsored event	*	1	63%
ľ									Read or watched local news	*	\leftrightarrow	83%
ľ									Done a favor for a neighbor	*	\leftrightarrow	83%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$